



Alan R. Berkowitz<sup>1</sup>, Teresa Mourad<sup>2</sup>, George Middendorf<sup>3</sup>, Jessica Johnston<sup>4</sup> and Luanna Prevost<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies, <sup>2</sup>Ecological Society of America, <sup>3</sup>Howard University, <sup>4</sup>Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, <sup>5</sup>University of South Florida

### Why did we do the study?

Purposes of the Teaching Undergraduate Ecology Survey (TUES) study addressed in this paper:

- 1. To determine how ecology is being taught across a diversity of undergraduate courses and institutions in the US.
- 2. To identify factors supporting and constraining emphasis on different dimensions of ecology teaching.
- 3. To provide a baseline for determining change as people learn about and adopt the 4DEE framework.

Additional purpose to be addressed in a subsequent paper

4. To assess ecologists' familiarity with the 4DEE Framework, and the factors supporting and limiting its adoption.

### What did we ask about in the survey?

- Part I Ecology teaching: describe your teaching in a recent course where you teach the most ecology
- Part II Awareness and use of 4DEE Framework
- Respondent profile demographics, professional status & experience
- Institutional and instructional context Institution type, MSI status
- Profile of the course reported on in the survey

### How is ecology being taught?





# How is ecology being taught to undergraduates in the US? Early results from the ESA's Teaching of Undergraduate Ecology Survey



Barriers	Ecology Practices (n=109)	CC Themes (n=90)	Human- Env Int (n=150)
Not enough time in my course	51.4	42.2	50.0
Don't have the resources or facilities needed	34.9	27.8	14.7
Dimension not supported by the course textbook	24.8	42.2	26.7
Dimension not necessary/ as important for my students to learn	21.1	18.9	14.7
I don't have the expertise	15.6	16.7	16.0
Other .	15.6	12.2	22.7
No time to prepare	14.7	13.3	17.3
None of above/prefer not to respond	0.0	0.0	2.7

Acknowledgements: This study has been made possible by grant #DBI-2120678 from the National Science Foundation to ESA, the 4DEE Subcommittee of the ESA Education Committee (Christopher Beck, Chair, Emory University, Luanna Prevost, Past Chair, University of South Florida, Alan Berkowitz, Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Loren Byrne, Roger Williams University, Jennifer Doherty, Michigan State University, Justin St. Juliana, Cornell University, Vikki Rodgers, Babson College, Amanda Sorensen, Michigan State University and Natasha Woods, Moravian University; and many colleagues who helped plan, review and pilot test, and then respond to the TUES Survey. Thanks to all!

## Who responded? (n=544)

Position	64% tenured + retired	School - type	50% 4 yr w/ grad prgm
Highest degree	66% Ph.D.	School - MSI, etc.	34% MSI
Years teaching	14% < 4, 59% > 7	Gender	44% male, 50% female
ESA member?	44% current, 34% past	Race/Ethnicity	69% white, 22% URM

### What courses did they report on?

Course Title	%
Intro Bio	20
Intro Ecology	30
Intro Environ. Science	18
Advanced Bio, Eco, Env. Sci	24
Type of Course	
Integrated Lecture/lab	53
Lecture only	24
Lab only	18
Hours/week	
1	3
2	14
3	34
4	19
5	29

### **Ecology** as % of Course 4D and Non-4D Teaching



## What have we learned?

- diversity of institutions, many not currently ESA members.
- the Ecology Practices and Cross-Cutting Concepts dimensions.

- dimensional ecology teaching. Develop resources.



Ecology as % of course	
0-25%	13
25-50%	25
50-75%	21
75-100%	40
Student type	
Majors	45
Non-Majors	15
Mixed-Major	20
Mixed Major and Non-Majors	19
Student enrollment	
< 25	33
25-50	37
50-100	18
> 100	12

### **Course Title** 4D and Non-4D Teaching

• Ecology is being taught in a wide diversity of courses and contexts, by faculty in a

More than half (55%) reported multi-dimensional (4D) teaching: giving all three dimensions beyond Ecology Concepts 'moderate' or 'a great deal of emphasis' • The Human/Environment Interactions dimension was emphasized slightly less than

• The four traditional Core Ecology Concepts – organisms, populations, communities and ecosystems – are taught by 2/3 to over 3/4 of respondents.

Multi-dimensional (4D) teaching is more common where ecology is a higher percentage of the course, and less common in Introductory Biology courses. Those indicating emphasizing a dimension 'not at all' or 'only minimal' reported course time as the most significant barrier, followed by resources and textbooks.

### What's next?

Triangulate the self-reported results with syllabi, student surveys. Repeat study. Engage 4D teachers in efforts to broaden and deepen integrated, multi-

